

Leaders in Wealth Management

Emerging Markets' shares index: US S&P 500



Sometimes we come across markets' charts which make us stop and take a closer look.

The above was one of these, in early October 2013.

In this issue:

Bond prizes shrink

Jonathan Davis Wealth Management

How much income will you need in retirement? ◆ Should you protect your pension now?◆ Another view on annuities ◆ Forward guidance goes backwards • Dow Jones has a rethink • Keep up with your financial protection needs • Premium

Alden Yewlands Hoddesdon EN11 8BT

Head Office and Correspondence:

Tel: 0845 862 2919 www.JonathanDavisWM.com City Office: Token House 12 Tokenhouse Yard London EC2R 7AS

Bulletin

It shows the index of Emerging Markets' shares (Brazil, Russia, India, China, Korea, Indonesia etc) relative to the US S&P 500 index over the last 10 years.

As you see, until the banking collapse, started late 2007, for a few years EMs roared ahead of US shares. Then they plummeted, even more than did US (Western) shares. Then, with the early 2009 bailouts and reflation EMs again soared, even more than did US shares.

The point is, from 2011, EM shares plummeted again vis-à-vis US shares – down to the same relative level of the post-collapse in 2009. This is all most curious as there hasn't actually been another collapse. I am not saying there isn't going to be one (actually we're expecting another one). What I am saying is, EMs have already collapsed. Thus, the likelihood of them having another one is significantly diminished.

If you are looking to invest in shares, why are you looking at UK shares or US shares when they have been soaring? Why not look at shares which have already collapsed and you can buy at c 70p in the £.

Can they go lower? Of course they can? However, If global shares collapse they are likely to fall less than US shares, for example. Investing #101 - minimise downside risk.

What should you do to secure your finances or help secure those of your friends, family, colleagues or (solicitor) private and trustee clients? Call me personally to discuss how we can help.



Jonathan Davis BA MBA FCII FPFS Chartered Financial Planner Managing Director

Jonathan Davis Wealth Management Ltd is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority 458630. Registered in England No. 05942730. Registered Office: The Granary, 39 Bell Street, Sawbridgeworth CM21 9AR

How much income will you need in retirement?

How much income will you need after you have retired? The number crunchers at the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) have published a report that tries to answer this eternal question, with interesting results.

"Two thirds of final salary" used to be the answer to the income-inretirement question, based on nothing more scientific than the fact that this proportion was equivalent to what the old Civil Service Pension Scheme provided for its long serving (40 years +) employees.

These days a more sophisticated analysis is made to determine what constitutes an adequate retirement income: the target is a level of income for retirees which, in the DWP's words, "allows them to maintain the same sort of lifestyle in retirement which they had whilst in work." This is still expressed as a percentage of earnings, but the DWP takes average inflation-adjusted earnings between age 50 and State Pension Age.

50/State Pension Age Average Earnings Band	Replacement Rate for Adequate Retirement Income %
Less than £12,200	80
£12,200 - £22,400	70
£24,400 - £32,000	67
£32,000 - £51,300	60
Over £51,300	50

The DWP's table gives it a starting point to gauge the adequacy of future retirement income in the light of two major pension reforms currently underway:

- Automatic enrolment in pension schemes for employees, which started for the largest employers last October but will not be fully operational until 2018; and
- The replacement of the current Basic State Pension and State Second Pension (S2P) with a new Single-tier State pension from April 2016.



These significant changes have less impact than you might expect. The DWP says that the reforms will "reduce the number of people facing inadequate retirement incomes by 1 million", but there will still be "an estimated 12.2 million people facing inadequate retirement incomes." Of that number, the DWP says "Roughly half of these are within 20% of their target amount, with the remainder facing a more significant challenge." This is a particular issue for moderate and higher earners. ... Those on moderate or higher earnings may want to save more than automatic enrolment defaults to reach adequate incomes." "May want" is putting it mildly – 'will need' is probably more accurate.

The value of your investment can go down as well as up and you may not get back the full amount you invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Should you protect your pension now?

The lifetime allowance falls next April, but you can claim protection from the cut.

On 6 April 2014, the lifetime allowance – effectively the maximum tax-efficient value of all your pension benefits – will drop from £1.5m to £1.25m. If the Liberal Democrats get their way, there will be another reduction to £1m, after the next election.

There are two sets of transitional relief which will allow you to shelter your current pension rights from the April revisions. The first, Fixed Protection 2014, will preserve your lifetime

allowance at a minimum of £1.5m, but it must be claimed by 5 April 2014. However, a claim would mean that, broadly speaking, after that date you cannot benefit from any further contributions or benefit accruals.

The second relief, Individual Protection, is more complex and will not be finalised until the Finance Act 2014 receives Royal Assent next summer. It is only from then that any claim for this relief can be made.

If you have pension benefits that could be affected, please contact us now to start a review of your options.

The value of tax reliefs depends on your individual circumstances. Tax laws can change. The Financial Conduct Authority does not regulate tax advice.

Another view on annuities

Another annuity table has been published, giving new insights into the huge variability in rates.

An annuity is the annual income you can buy with your pension fund when you start drawing your pension benefits. It is a one-off decision that will determine your pension income for the rest of your life. You can buy an annuity that will just last your lifetime and it need not have any inflation protection. But you can have an annuity that will last not just your lifetime but also that of your spouse or civil partner, and it can be inflation-proofed to a greater or lesser extent. The cost of an annuity depends on whether you inflation-proof it and whether add in your spouse/partner; but it also varies with your age and state of health and even where you live at the time you make the purchase.

The Association of British Insurers (ABI) – effectively the annuity providers' trade body – began publishing specimen annuity rates. The ABI tables are rather different from those which appear elsewhere, such as on weekend press financial pages. They cover just twelve "fictional customer profiles", all based on age 65 and none using the current rates (in October the ABI tables were showing figures for September).

The ABI has obtained annuity rates from those of its members which only sell annuities to their own pension policyholders, so its tables capture many

	No health problems	Smoked for at least 10 years, lung disease, severely impaired
Best Rate	£1,706.56	£1,997.40
Median Rate	£1,521.06	£1,841.82
Worst Rate	£1,350.57	£1,350.97
Best/Worst Ratio	126.4%	147.9%



annuity providers that do not appear in other league tables. The results have highlighted the large disparity in annuity rates on offer. The brief table here shows the differences in annual income for a 65 year old with £18,000 to invest.

Even this rate table does not tell the whole story, as some providers chose not to quote for the severely impaired profile because they did not offer underwritten annuities.

If you are at or nearing the point when you plan to start drawing benefits from any pension plan, the lesson is obvious: do not assume your current provider will give you the best annuity deal. To find the annuity – or other retirement income option – that fits your circumstances, you need to take professional advice and we are here to help.

Forward guidance goes backwards

The world's central bankers have a new interest rate tool: it is called 'forward guidance'.

Imagine you are the Governor of the Bank of England. How would you convince everyone that interest rates are going to stay at current low levels for several years?

If you do not want to be a hostage to events over which you have no control, the best you can do is offer a firm steer that you will keep interest rates unchanged until certain events occur (this is "forward guidance" in the jargon).

This is where we find ourselves now in the UK (and, for that matter, the US). The (relatively) new Governor of the Bank of England, Mark Carney, announced in August that the Bank's Monetary Policy Committee "intends not to raise Bank Rate from its current level of 0.5% at least until ... the unemployment rate has fallen to a threshold of 7%", which the Bank's economists predict will be in mid- 2016.



If the thought of nearly three more years of 0.5% base rates fills you with dread, then you may take some solace from the reaction of the financial markets to the Bank of England's forward guidance: they do not seem to believe it. By September the pattern of interest rates in the money markets suggested that base rate

should rise around the end of next year, 18 months earlier than the Bank had in mind.

On both sides of the Atlantic, "forward guidance" has proved more difficult to convey than central bankers anticipated. However, for investors, the thrust of the message is relatively clear. If you think interest rates will rise soon, you are betting against the central banks. As a result, money you hold on deposit looks set to continue a losing battle against inflation and tax – if you are a higher rate taxpayer, you need 4.5% gross interest to counter the effects of 2.7% inflation and 40% tax.

The value of your investment can go down as well as up and you may not get back the full amount you invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Dow Jones has a rethink

The venerable index has undergone its biggest change in almost a decade.

The Dow Jones Index – strictly speaking the Dow Jones Industrial Average – is probably the most widely quoted share index, not least because of its age. The index has only 30 shares and in September three companies (Hewlett-Packard, Alcoa and Bank of America) were thrown out and three new constituents (Nike, Visa and Goldman Sachs) were introduced.

You might have expected Apple and Google – the first and third largest US companies by

market value – to join the Dow, but they remain outside the index. The reason for their exclusion (and, for that matter, Microsoft's and IBM's continued inclusion) is the old fashioned way in which the Dow is constructed.

The Dow thus serves as a good reminder that when using indices for comparisons or as the basis of tracker funds, it is essential to understand just how your chosen index is put together.



Keep up with your financial protection needs

At least every two or three years, you should take a good look at your life assurance to see if it still provides what you need. When you are making such an important review, it is almost always worth going back to basics to consider the types of cover you need, how much you should have of each one and how long you are likely to require protection.

You need life assurance if there is anyone who would suffer financially as a result of your death. Assessing how much life cover is required may seem a slightly dismal exercise, but it is essential to think through the financial consequences of your possible demise.

Once your earnings vanish, the various family expenses you pay for and domestic labour you provide would have to be covered from some other source – such as the proceeds of a life policy. The need for life assurance is especially great if you have dependent children; and that means both parents need life cover.

The insurance company Legal & General has carried out some research into this subject and concluded that the annual value of a parent is £31,627 for a mother and £23,971 for a father. The average total day-to-day cost of raising a child to the age of 18 is £154,440 – without any



school fees and many of the other extras that you may be paying for now.

One of the troubling conclusions of the Legal & General report is that inflation is actually far higher for most families than government statistics suggest is true for the population as a whole. For example, the insurer found that the value of a father has increased by 13% since 2011.

Your employer may provide some life cover, but it is unlikely to be enough. You should not just leave the need for protection of your family to chance. Most people find it easier to make the right decisions about life cover by talking them through with an experienced and trained adviser who can suggest the right types of policy, the level and term of cover required and the most suitable trust arrangements.

The value of your investment can go down as well as up and you may not get back the full amount you invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Premium Bond prizes shrink

From 1 August, National Savings & Investments (NS&I) cut the prize money interest rate of premium bonds from 1.5% to 1.3%.

From 1 August, National Savings & Investments (NS&I) cut the prize money interest rate of premium bonds from 1.5% to 1.3%. The change mirrored short term interest rate cuts elsewhere, even though base rate has been unchanged since March 2009.

To cope with the reduced prize pot, NS&I reduced the odds of winning in the monthly draw from 24,000:1 to 26,000:1. NS&I also rejigged the prize distribution, so that 98.5% of all prizes are now £25. As for the odds of winning the single £1m jackpot in any one month, these are now less than 1 in 46,000,000,000...